

W. O. GORDON, ADMINISTRATOR.

LETTER FROM THE ASSISTANT CLERK OF THE COURT OF CLAIMS,
TRANSMITTING A COPY OF THE FINDINGS OF FACT IN THE
CASE OF W. O. GORDON, ADMINISTRATOR, AGAINST THE
UNITED STATES.

FEBRUARY 5, 1902.—Referred to the Committee on War Claims and ordered to be
printed.

COURT OF CLAIMS, CLERK'S OFFICE,
Washington, February 4, 1902.

SIR: Pursuant to the order of the court, I transmit herewith a certified copy of the findings filed by the court in the aforesaid cause, which case was referred to this court by the Committee on War Claims, House of Representatives, under the act of March, 3, 1883.

I am, very respectfully, yours, etc.,

JOHN RANDOLPH,
Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.

Hon. DAVID B. HENDERSON,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

[Court of Claims. Congressional, No. 7533. Estate of Jack Frank, deceased, *v.* The United States.]

This case, being a claim for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion, the court, on a preliminary inquiry, finds that Jack Frank, deceased, the person alleged to have furnished such supplies or stores, or from whom the same are alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

BY THE COURT.

Filed October 29, 1900.

[Court of Claims. Congressional case No. 7533. W. O. Gordon, administrator of the estate of Jack Frank, deceased, *v.* The United States.]

STATEMENT OF CASE.

The claim in the above-entitled case for supplies or stores alleged to have been taken by or furnished to the military forces of the United States for their use during the late war for the suppression of the rebellion was transmitted to the court by the Committee on War Claims of the House of Representatives on the 27th day of March, 1890.

On a preliminary inquiry the court, on the 29th of October, 1900, found that the person alleged to have furnished the supplies or stores, or from whom they were alleged to have been taken, was loyal to the Government of the United States throughout said war.

The case was brought to a hearing on its merits on the 22d day of October, 1901. George A. & William B. King, esqs., appeared for claimant, and the Attorney-General, by F. W. Collins, esq., his assistant and under his direction, appeared for the defense and protection of the interests of the United States.

The claimant in his petition makes the following allegations:

That during the civil war he was a resident of the State of Tennessee, and did not give any aid or comfort to the rebellion, but was throughout that war loyal to the Government of the United States; that the following property belonging to claimant was taken from him by and for the use of the United States Army, to wit:

In Maury County, State of Tennessee, during the month of November, 1864, by United States troops under the command of Col. Dan McCoy, namely:

1 bay horse	\$150
1 bay mule	150
Total	300

The court, upon the evidence, and after considering the briefs and arguments of counsel on both sides, makes the following

FINDING OF FACT:

There were taken from the claimant's decedent, in Maury County, State of Tennessee, during the war of the rebellion, by the military forces of the United States, for the use of the Army, property of the kind and character above described, which at the time and place of taking was reasonably worth the sum of one hundred and seventy dollars (\$170), for which no payment appears to have been made.

Further proceedings to be suspended until letters of administration are filed herein.

BY THE COURT.

Filed January 6, 1902.

A true copy.

Test this 4th day of February, 1902.

[SEAL.]

JOHN RANDOLPH,
Assistant Clerk Court of Claims.